



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/456,249	12/07/1999	DARRYL E. RUBIN	03797.85089 6181		
28319	7590 04/21/2005		EXAMINER		
BANNER & WITCOFF LTD., ATTORNEYS FOR MICROSOFT			YUAN, ALMARI ROMERO		
1001 G STRE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ELEVENTH STREET			2176		
WASHINGTO	DN, DC 20001-4597		DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)	
RUBIN ET AL.	
Art Unit	
2176	

	Almari Yuan	2176				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
	olianee with 27 CED 41 27 must be	filed within two month	o of the date of			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since			
AMENDMENTS						
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>			ecause			
		i E below);				
<ul><li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for</li></ul>						
appeal; and/or	ior rom for appoor by materially ro	adding or oimpinying				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	<ol><li>See attached Notice of Non-Co</li></ol>	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·	•	•			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				
13. Other:		1/1/11	•			
JOSEPH FEILD						
	SUPERVISO	RY PATENT EXAM	INER			

K

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant proposes adding claims 6-14, however, the amendment will not be entered because it would require further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments regarding the art rejections of all claims have been carefully considered but they are not persuasive. The Office believes that the Office action mailed on 2/09/05 was fully responsive to applicant's arguments, and maintains the rejections set forth in that Office Action.